per-process tracking logic allowing said module to ensure that program execution in a process can only be executed from a wrapper.

15. (ORIGINAL): The computer program product of claim 13 further wherein:

said module can selectively return false responses in response to a program execution request.

16. (ORIGINAL): The computer program product of claim 13 further wherein:

said module can probabilistically return false responses in response to a program execution request.

17. (ORIGINAL): A stored program product on a media that when loaded and executed in an appropriately configured computer device enables the device to perform the method of claim 1.

18-23 CANCELLED

## **REMARKS/ARGUMENTS**

The Examiner is thanked for his attention to this application.

Applicant has made the requested amendments to the specifications.

Applicant thanks the Examiner for indicating that claim 3 and claim 10 are allowable.

In response to the Examiners indication that claim 3 is allowable, applicant has amended claim 1 to include all of the limitations of claim 3.

Thus, claim 1 is claim 3 rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims and therefore is allowable. Furthermore, claims 2, 4-10, and 17, which are dependent on claim 1, are also allowable.

Appl. No. 10/678,609

Amdt. Dated 29 May 2007

Reply to Office action of 29 January 2007

In response to the Examiners indication that claim 10 is allowable, applicant has amended

claim 10 in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any

intervening claims. Thus, claim 10 is now allowable. Furthermore, claims 2, 4-10, and 17,

which are dependant on claim 1, are also allowable.

Claim 13 has been amended to include all the limitations of claim 3. Applicant believes this

amendment should render claim 13 allowable. Furthermore, claims 14-16 which depend

from claim 13 should also be allowable.

These amendments are made solely for purposes of expediting prosecution and do not

indicate agreement with the rejections of the Examiner and applicant reserves the right to

prosecute the original claims in a continuation application. These amendments are made

without prejudice to any of the original claimed invention.

Applicant respectfully requests that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case.

If a telephone conference would expedite prosecution of this application, the Examiner is

invited to telephone the undersigned at (510) 769-3508.

If after consideration of the above response, the Examiner does not find that all pending

claims are in condition for allowance, applicant hereby requests a telephone interview

with the Examiner. Please contact the undersigned at (510) 769-3508.

QUINE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP

P.O. BOX 458, Alameda, CA 94501

Tel: 510 337-7871

Fax: 510 337-7877

PTO Customer No.: **22798** 

Deposit Account No.: 50-0893

Respectfully submitted,

/stephen j leblanc/

Stephen J. LeBlanc

Reg. No: 36,579